G. R. S. IX-5

Previous references.

G. N. 524-17-3
CS 209-19-243
CB-125 1-1-1918
G. M. 290-15-1146, 9/10, 12
G. M. 74-15-10 to 15, 19 & 23
Later references.
CS 209-19-718
G. J. 6-21-108-166
CB 1-1-1921
SHA 341-31 (1-3)

1919-20
~~192~~

File No. 209/19

Serial Nos 1,446

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1,446

Part B for Dec. 1924.

SUBJECT.

Representation of Mr. G. N. Krumbiegel, Supt. Govt. Gardens, regarding his pay & increments during the period of the recent war

To

The Comptroller, Mysore Govt. through the H. Govt.

The President of Bangalore & Mysore City Municipalities

Reso. 2791-3 D 7-19-11-24

Sanctions certain remuneration to Mr. G. N. Krumbiegel for work done on behalf of Govt. during the period of his internment.

WD 1888—GPB—16,826—23-7-21

(For Disposal at a Meeting)

No. C. 576

1920-21.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Council Matter.

SUBJECT.
(Clause xiii of the Schedule.)

Amount of fees to be paid to Mr. G.H.Krumbiegal for Government work done by him during the period of his internment.

CIRCULATED TO THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.

By Order,

11th April 1921. Offg. *Chief Secretary to Government.*

Extraordinary Member of Council.

First Member of Council.

5288-300 14.4.21

Second Member of Council. Opinion recorded

16.4.21

5920 19.4.21

Third Member of Council.

20/4

(For Disposal at a Meeting)

No. C. 662

.....19.7.20

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Council Matter.

SUBJECT.

(Clause xiii of the Schedule.)

Representation of Mr. G.H.Krumbiegal, Superintendent, Government Gardens, for reconsidering the order treating the period of his absence as leave without allowances.

Re-CIRCULATED TO THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.

By Order,

C S Balasundaram
Chief Secretary to Government.

.....16th July.....19..20.

Extraordinary Member of Council.

143 / 24/7 **First Member of Council.** 4/7

361-16 / 16.7.20 **Second Member of Council.** Seen 12.9.20

Third Member of Council. 17-7-20 / 20/7

35

(For Disposal at a Meeting)

No. C. 662

.....19..20

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Council Matter.

SUBJECT.

Clause xiii of the schedule.

Representation of Mr. G. H. Krumbiegel, Superintendent, Government Gardens for the reconsideration of the order treating the period of his absence as leave without allowances.

CIRCULATED TO THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.

By Order,

C S Balasundaram

17th May 1920.

Chief Secretary to Government.

Extraordinary Member of Council.

102 21/5/20 **First Member of Council.** 21/5

Second Member of Council. Seen.

6615 24-5-20 **Third Member of Council.** 24/5

G. R. S. III—1] 1919-20

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(CB.)

NOTES.

File No. 209-19

FILE HEADING.

Representation of Mr. G. H Krumbiegel in regard to the treatment of the period of his absence as leave without allowances.

From G. H. Krumbiegel Esqre, Economic Botanist &c, Bangalore

No. 1642 / C 33-19-20 Dated 26th March 1920 Received 27th March 1920

Diary No.	1024.	Enclos. 1	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States that of the total period of his absence for 49 months, 6 months have been treated as combined leave and the remaining 43 months as leave without allowances and solicits reconsideration of the question as to how this latter period should be treated, especially with reference to increments of his appointment which have been withheld owing to his absence being treated as W.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Previous references in this case are not available as files are put up in a case sent up with DSR

Mr. Krumbiegel was given leave as follows:—

	Y. M. D
(i) Privilege leave from 15th Nov. 1915 to 14th Feb. 1916	0-3-0
(ii) Leave on private affairs from 15th Feb. 16 to 14th May 1916	0-3-0
(iii) Leave without allowances from 15th May 16 to 25th Dec. 19	3-7-11

2. Mr. Krumbiegel was [illegible] when he went on the above leave, drawing

RS

NOTES—First Sheet.

Serial No.

875 in the grade 600-25-1100 and a conveyance allowance of Rs.75/- per mensem.

3. From the 1st February 1916 his next increment in the grade was due to him, that is, his pay would be Rs.900 from that date. This pay he has been drawing from the date of his return to duty.

4. He now states that during the period of his absence of three years and seven months (now treated as leave without allowances) he was doing official work in camp under an arrangement agreed to by the Government of India. The items of work done by him are:--

(a) Preparation of plans for improvement work that has been going on (in the Government Gardens);

(b) Giving instructions in details for their execution;

(c) Passing orders on the periodical report for the maintenance work of gardens; and

(d) dealing with most of the important questions of the Department.

He therefore requests for a reconsideration of his case and suggests three ways in which his absence of 43 months may be treated:--

(1) The full period of 43 months may be treated as leave on half pay;

(2) The lapsed period of 5 months privilege

Serial No.

leave may be granted to him and the remaining period 38 months treated as leave on half pay; or

(3) the payment of an architects fees at 2½ per cent for his work in preparing plans and giving technical advice (if the period is treated as leave without allowances).

5 as regards periodical increments he says that (if even Government disallow the same in calculating the moiety of the pay for work done during his absence, he begs that this may not affect his present and future pay as otherwise he will never be able to reach the maximum pay of the grade.

6 In other words he wants his pay to be fixed now at the stage of the grade at which it would be if he were granted the increments from time to time regularly. I c Rs 1000 from 1-2-1920 Mad-Rs 975 from 25-12-19 to 31-1-20

Submitted for orders.

Refer file as before to Mr. Mukherjee Comptroller

20/4/20 Chatfield

Bro 21-4-20.

7 The case is a very peculiar one that arose under the exigencies of war measures. If there is no political objection it could be reasonable to treat the case on the following basis – (1) Absence other than the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

period covered by the privilege leave may be treated as furlough (the technicalities about earning furlough being waived) & furlough allowances given. These allowances may be treated as remuneration for all work done for Govt & the Palace during the period & no other remuneration need be given*. (2) As regards increments no arrears of increment need be allowed, but since he rejoined duty Mr K may be allowed the benefit of the increments he would have earned had he been continuously on duty.

* This would correspond to proposal (1) which Mr K has made.

& Mr K has suffered in several ways during the period owing to ~~reasons~~ causes over which he had no control, but neither had Sir Dunbar any control over the circumstances.

2nd member } CBS
this Sl sect } 24/4/20

FINANCIAL SECRETARY'S BANGALORE 24 APR 1920

H.H.O.P.M. H/No. 2629-19.

Yes) 9

3258/26/4

I think there is no case or justification for treating the absence as furlough and giving him furlough allowance for the period. He had no furlough to his credit and it is not advisable to infringe an important

18/24/4

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

7L 2629-19.

rule of leave regulations.

10 The case is however a peculiar one as stated by the Chief Secy and in view of this fact and of the consideration that Mr Kennedy did some work for Govt during the period, Govt may as a very exceptional measure, permit him to draw on his return to duty the stage of pay he would have been drawing had he not been disturbed, without of course any claim to arrears of increments.

11 The grant of any other Concession in the shape of a large amount - varying from Rs 15000 to Rs 19000 according to different Calculations - is opposed to all rules and should not I submit be sanctioned.

rule
27-4-20

682/- 234
29.4.20.

2nd member.

12 This is an Exceptional case quite beyond the pur view of the usual service rules. As regards increments even the Init. Secy has accepted the Ch. Secy.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | CB. File No. 209 of 1919.

Serial No.

recommendation and I am also in favour of its being sanctioned // In the matter of the payment of emoluments for the period during which Mr. G.H.K was forced to be away. I am inclined to agree with the Ch. Secy. & to suggest some compensation being granted to this officer, financial objection notwithstanding. Mr. G.H.K is an exceptionally clever man. & his fine enthusiasm for his work. The circs. which necessitated his absence from work were as much beyond his control as the work. and I think he has been hard hit in many ways. It is my opinion therefore that the case is one in which some special treatment is indicated. Alternative No 1. is the least expensive while alternative No 3 is the least objectionable. A fourth alternative would be to pay him a lump sum, [redacted], & to close the matter. This suggestion is based on the assumption that there would be no "political objection" as observed by the Ch. Secy.

Reel
7.5.20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

P. 70.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | CB File No. 209 of 1919.

Serial No.

Since writing out my Minute of yesterday's date, some further facts have come to my knowledge, & they are that Mr. G. H. Krumbiegel was expressly permitted by the G of I to do such work as could be attended to by him during his period of internment. As a matter of fact he did considerable work even for the G of I, besides attending to such requisitions as he received from the State thro the Stores Secretary. As such it seems to me that there ought to be no difficulty in accepting alternative No 1. Since there can be "no political taint" in the light of the above. Mr. G. H. K. has cost heavily from the financial point of view - all his private investments having been confiscated - & he would be grateful if even the alternative of Rs 1000 could be accepted by Govt.

Bell 8.5.20

14. May perhaps be considered in Council.

Devany

(BJ) 10/5/20

15. Yes

16. Circulate CBJ 12.5.20

CB 14/5/20

NOTE—Contd sheet.

VICEROY'S OFFICE.
Recd. on MAY 10 1920
Regd. No. 7571
(S. O. C. No 90).

S. "D" - 35 ~~662~~ 8

17. Representation of Mr. G.H.Krumbiegal Superintendent, Government Gardens, for th reconsideration of the order treating the period of his absence as leave without allowance.

Opinion of the Council.

18) Submit files re His internment &- Submitted Dewan Sahib. .

CB 9/7/20

Subt.

19) The following files are put up-

(1) Re Internment Gm. 74-15 (E)
(2) Re arrangement for conduct of ~~work~~ during Mr. Krumbiegel's absence (C)
(3) CB. 131-19 ~~P.P. 96-19~~. Re repatriation of Mr. K. (7)

Gm. 141-14
74-15
~~75-15~~
Gm

Dewan

CB 9/7.

C. 662.

G.R.S. III—3]

9

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

20) The papers have been perused by the Dewan and it is ordered that the file may be recirculated to Council.

Bowen
Dy.
11.7.20

C.S.
Re-Circulate.
Ch 11/7

21

10

Extract from the Proceedings of the 538th *Meeting of the Council of His Highness the Maharaja, held at Bangalore on the* 12th August 1920.

---

10. Subject. - Clause xiii of the Schedule.

22 Representation of Mr. G.H.Krumbiegel, Superintendent, Government Gardens, for the reconsideration of the order treating the period of his absence as leave without allowances.

Opinion of the Council.

23. The period of absence may be allowed to count for increments in his grade.

24. The absence cannot be treated as leave with allowances but a suitable fee may be paid for the work he did for Government during his internment.

(Sd.) S.R.Banerji,
Offg. Dewan of Mysore

"EXTRACT"

Chief Secretary to Government.

WD 1148—GPB—1,000—23-12-18

G. R. S. III-2]

C. 662

11

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 191

Serial No.

25) Extract from the Proceedings of the Council is put up.

26) Forwarded, with compliments, to the Financial Secretary to Government, for concurrence or remarks if any.

By order,

C Balasundaramayyar
Chief Secretary to Govt 4/8

27) The fee proposed to be given is not stated

Mr
14.8.20

D(Rev) 1197-20

28) D. F. N. Sorted.

Mr
19/8/20.

2nd number.

1194-193
23.8.20

29) D.F. approved

Peel
24.8.20

CSJ
22/8/20

30) D. S. N. Sorted.

Mr
26/8/20

31) Dewan)

20

CSJ
28/8

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on AUG 28 1920
Reg. No. 2177

NOTE.—Contn sheet

12

Final Note by the Second Member of Council.

Subject:—

32) Representation of Mr. G.H. Krumbiegal, Superintendent, Government Gardens, for reconsideration of the Government Order treating the period of his absence as leave without allowances.

E&T 33) Mr. Krumbiegal was interned on the 15th November 1915 and he rejoined his appointment after release on the 26th December 1919. The period of his absence from the 15th November 1915 to 25th December 191[illegible] has been treated as follows:-

W&Y

15th November 1915 to 14th February 1916.	Privilege leave.
15th February 1916 to 14th May 1916.	Leave on private affairs.
15th May 1916 to 25th December 1919.	Leave without allowances.

U 34) 2. Mr. Krumbiegal now represents that, during the period of his internment, he was, under the arrangement agreed to by the Government of India, doing official work in camp, such as preparation of plans for improvement works in the gardens and dealing with most of the important cases of the Department. He, therefore, prays that the period of his absence from 15th May 1916 to 25th December 1919 (now treated as leave without allowances) may be treated as leave on half pay, or that he may be given architect's fees at 2½% for his work in preparing plans and giving technical advice taking the cost of the work at ten lakhs of Rupees.

35) 3. Mr. Krumbiegal is in the grade Rs.600-25-1100, plus a conveyance allowance of Rs.75/-. His pay on 1st February 1916 was Rs.900/-. He has been allowed this pay from the date of his return. If he had continued in the service he would have been drawing Rs.975/- from 1st February 1919 and Rs.1,000/- from 1st February 1920. Mr. Krumbiegal prays that his forced absence should not affect his present and future pay.

36) Page 4 of Office Notes

4. The Chief Secretary suggests that the period of absence, excepting privilege leave, may be treated as furlough as a special case, and that Mr. Krumbiegal may be allowed the benefit of increments he would have earned had he been continuously on duty (without of course any claim for arrears of increments). The Financial Secretary agrees to the latter concession, but, is not in favour of giving any allowances during the period of absence.

Page 5 of do.

37) Page 6 of do.

5. When the papers were submitted to me, I agreed with the Chief Secretary's views and suggested that, in addition to the concession of treating the period of absence as counting for increments, he may be given some compensation as the absence was forced on him owing to war conditions over which he had no control.

38) Page 10 of do.

6. The subject was considered at the meeting of Council held on the 12th August 1920 and the Council have resolved as follows:-

> "The period of absence may be allowed to count for increments in his grade.
>
> "The absence cannot be treated as leave with allowances, but, a suitable fee may be paid for the work he did for Government during his internment."

39) 7. The Financial Secretary wants to fix the fee proposed to be given to Mr. Krumbiegal. This matter will be separately considered in consultation with the Huzur Secretary, as a large part of Mr. Krumbiegal's work relates to the Palace.

40) 8. The recommendation of the Council may be approved.

P Raghavendra Rao
28. 8. 20

24th August 1920.

MEMBER OF ~~COUNCIL.~~

Submitted to His Highness.

***

41) I submit herewith a Final Note by the Second Member of Council on the subject of representation of Mr. G.H. Krumbiegel, Superintendent, Government Gardens, for re-consideration of the Government Order treating the period of his absence as leave without allowances, for His Highn-- gracious approval.

30.8.20

15

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

42) Representation of Mr. G.H. Krumbiegel, Superintendent, Government Gardens, in the matter of treating the period of his absence as leave without allowances.

320

Order.

~~KRM~~
31/8/20

43) Chief secy
1.9.

C 662

16.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | CS File No. 209 of 1919.

Serial No.

(*)

31. All urgent or confidential files returned with His Highness' orders may, in the absence of instructions or indications to the contrary, be ordinarily circulated to the Members of Council for perusal after the issue of orders.

33. The Secretaries concerned should see that orders in the cases referred to above are issued at once and that the files are returned immediately after to the Chief Secretary for circulation to the Members.

34. In all other cases, the files will first be circulated to the Members of Council at headquarters and then sent to the Secretariat concerned for the issue of orders.

44) Circulated to the Members of Council for perusal of His Highness' orders ~~before~~ / ~~after~~ issue of orders. (*Vide* Rules 31, 33 and 34 of the Subsidiary Rules for the conduct of business of Council in the Manual of Council Rules and Procedure.)

T. Balasundaram 3/9/20

Chief Secretary to Govt.

Through the Secretary to Government,

.....Department.

FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL (Seen on 19th inst.)

49)

1513-98 / 3.9.20

SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL Seen

Ch. Secy. (Mr. H. Secy. may send the connected papers in my final note of 25.8.20)

46) Pillai 4.9.20

1662 / 5-9-20

THIRD MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 5/9

47) Subsequent papers

CS 29

48) Please put this in monday mg. 4/9

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | C.B. File No. 209 of 1919.

Serial No.

49) Forwarded with compliments to the Huzur Secretary for favour of opinion as to the amount of fees that may be paid to Mr. G. H. Krumbiegel for work done during the period of his internment.

(Vide paragraph 7 of the Second Member's Final Note in the file).

50) What portion of the fees may be met from the Palace funds and how much should be borne by Government may also be indicated.

CSR
2079/20

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—70,000—17-12-15

C 662

Dy No 140
Enc one with
one file

The Palace, Mysore,
1st December 1920.

Dear Mr. Balasundaram Iyer,

51) With reference to your note in File No. C.B. 209, enquiring as to the amount of fees that may be paid to Mr. Krumbiegel, for work done by him during the period of his internment, I enclose a list of plans (based on his own list) which he prepared for the Palace during this period, and have also noted the approximate cost of each item. The total cost of the works, as thus roughly estimated by me, does not come to more than Rs. 1,13,000/- at the outside. His fees calculated at 2½ % would amount to Rs. 2725/- on this sum.

52) The Palace having already paid him Rs. 7000/- (not Rs. 6000/- as mentioned by Mr. Krumbiegel) there is nothing more that we can reasonably be expected to pay him now.

Yours sincerely,
Mirza M. Ismail

53) In perusal

3109-31.
2.12.20. 2nd member. 2/12

54) Going the list furnished by Mr. G. H. K. of the work done by him as the basis. The items belonging to the Palace (seem benig 20) have been enumerated & the amount calculated at Rs. 1,13,000/-. A similar list of the items pertaining to Govt. may be made out & the aggregate sum calculated. On this a percentage of 2½ p.c. may be passed, as in the case of the Palace works.

Put up with pleasure note
2/12

Peel
4.12.20.

PALACE.

56) Original Designs prepared and some of the plans dealt with for alterations etc. -

No.	Particulars	Approximate Cost.
1.	Garden round Karikal Thotti, Mysore	5,000/-
2.	Maid servants' passage with Pergola, Mysore	15,000/-
3.	Extension of Zoo at Mysore	20,000/-
4.	Garden round Memorial Mantap, Seringapatam	1,000/-
5.	Staircase and alterations to Her Highness' old Staircase Hall, Bangalore Palace.	5,000/-
6.	Layout of 'Beaufort' and adjoining properties	10,000/-
7.	New Staircase Hall, Highness' quarters, Bangalore Palace	15,000/-
8.	Italian Garden round Karanji near Palace, Mysore.	1,000/- ✓
9.	Revised design for Garage, Bangalore Palace (not taken up)	5,000/-
10.	Rose Garden for Bangalore Palace	500/-
11.	Details for lift at Bangalore Palace	5,000/-
12.	Alteration to Plant-house, Bangalore Palace	1,000/-
13.	Laundry proposed for Bangalore Palace	5,000/-
14.	Alterations of compound wall and roads with location of Dairy and other service buildings and new road to Pologround.	5,000/-
15.	Plans for 'Bloomfield' and other cottage gardens, Mysore.	500/-
16.	Alterations round Fernery and new Tennis Courts, Bangalore Palace.	2,000/-
17.	Changes near Temple, Summer Palace, Mysore	1,000/-
18.	Chamundi Hill, Palace design- plan showing alterations (not used)	10,000/-
19.	Layout of Fruit Garden at Chammanpatti	1,000/-
20.	Arni Hall alteration	5,000/-
	Grand Total.	1,13,000/-

11/12/20

(21

662

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | CB File No. 209 of 1919-20

Serial No.

4083-175
15.2.21

When I was at Srinagar in December last, I discussed this matter with the Maharaja Sahib & he was inclined to think that the Estimates were pitched at a high figure. This has been somewhat my own impression also. But the items are such that there can be a very large variation in the Estimates. Even amounting to cent per cent. It is not very easy to fix the figure definitely under the circumstances. Not having any personal knowledge myself of the items. I regret I am not in a position to suggest the figures with any degree of definiteness. But I shall be glad to discuss this matter with the H. Secy on his return from Delhi & then take the result.

Recd
16.2.21

Returned.

16/2

No Objn.
17-2-21

L1183-95
21-2-21

I have written D.O. to the H. Secy today & await his reply.

Recd
22.2.21.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2,

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 19 .

Serial No.

I met the Hugin Frey & talked the matter over with him yesterday. A list revised in the light of our discussion is put up herewith. It will be seen from it that certain items found in W. G. H's list have since been removed from the Govt. list for transfer to the Palace list & that the total sum, as revised, is just 25 p.c. of that originally given. Remuneration calculated @ 2½ p.c. (vide my note of 4.12.20) on the revised total of Rs 3,57,000 works out to Rs 8923/-. I would therefore recommend a sum of Rs 8,500/- being given to W. G. H. in full & final settlement of his claim for work done for the Govt during his period of employment.

Weel
16.3.21.

K 2082-20.

ENGINEER.
1.4.

Diwan, Stores
Asst Secy.

17/3/21

2983
26/3

Yes, I
I am not in a position to express any opinion on the amt proposed. The claim preferred by Mr Krumbiegel and

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2,

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 19

Serial No.

and the remarks now made in it show that there are really no reliable data to estimate the value of his services. I see in the list a no of items which concern the Mysore and Bangalore City Municipalities & not Govt. There is no information in the file to show if the Municipalities asked for plans & estimates in any case & it is not right to throw on Govt any charge relating to works in municipal areas. Even as regards Govt works I believe there are some which have not so far been undertaken and it will be long before some of them can be undertaken eg Representative Assembly Hall. By the time the works can be executed the plans &c will require considerable revision. I mention all this to show that there is no return to Govt for the large part of about Rs 9000 now moved. I think this is not a case for exact calculation of the fee payable

~~C 662~~

24

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 19 .

Serial No.

with reg to cost of Criminalis. If he shd be paid any fee for services rendered by him it had better be fixed as a lump sum amt and I would propose Rs 4000 -

Hrde
31.3.21

Reg a circular to Council

Hrde
6.4.21

CS
7/4/21

Council Section.

Circulate.

The question now is merely "fee" or "remuneration" before passing for

So far as Mr Krumbeigal is concerned it does not make much difference when the work was done. State or Mulpl. Councils. It will be open to Govt to recover from the latter such portion of the amount as may be legitimately chargeable to them. Whether the work is undertaken or not is another beside the point in my opinion. Mr. Krumbeigal has done his portion of the work & he has got to be paid for it. If in view of financial stringency or other reasons. Execution is deferred, Mr. Krumbeigal cannot be held responsible for it.

Hrde
16.4.21

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

25

Extract from the Proceedings of the 568th *Meeting of the Council of His Highness the Maharaja, held at Bangalore on the* 17th May 1921. 19 .

---

399. Subject. - Clause xiii of the Schedule.

Amount of fees to be paid to Mr. G.H.Krumbiegel for Government work done by him during the period of his interment. (C.B.209-19).

Opinion of the Council.

Mr. Krumbiegel may be given an honorarium of Rs.9000 for the work he did for Government during his interment.

(Sd.) M.Kantaraj Urs,
Dewan of Mysore.

"EXTRACT"

Chief Secretary to Government.

WD 433—GPB—1,000—1-9-20

C 576.

26

[G. R. S. 12]

W D 473—GPB—1,000—12-9-18

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 191 .

*9. (a) If the views expressed by the Financial Secretary in any case, whether original or un-officially referred, are not accepted by the Member of Government in charge, or by the Dewan and Members of Council, as the case may be, the file containing the discussions shall be sent to the Financial Secretary a second time, in order to enable him, in cases in which he considers that the orders proposed to be issued are opposed to financial rules of Government or to recognised financial principles or for other reasons of a financial nature, are open to objection, to record a respectful representation to that effect, which will be placed by the Dewan before His Highness the Maharaja for consideration.

(b) All cases sent to the Financial Secretary under this rule should be treated as immediate and returned to the Department concerned within three days of receipt.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Council is put up.

Forwarded, with compliments, to the Financial Secretary to Government, under paragraph 9 * of the Government Order No. G. 17572-8—G. M. 676-17-1, dated 22nd June 1918.

By Order,

Chief Secretary to Government.

3499/19/5

SECRETARIATE 2696-20 MAY 1921

I beg to state that I adhere to the opinion expressed on the 31st March 1921. I do not think xxxx the view that if the works for which plans and estimates were got prepared are not undertaken, the fee paid in respect of them will bring no return can be considered as irrelevant. There would be no justification for public expenditure if there is no return for it. I would also add that it is not fair to charge Government for work the benefit of which was intended for the two City Municipalities.

19.5.21

DEWAN'S OFFICE. Recd. on MAY 25 1921 Reg. No. 7327

6141-138

20.5.21.

Either no financial note (1st member) Rubd — Finance Note signed by the Min: First Member to be placed below.

25/5/21 D. S. N. Subd.

(Dewan) 25/5/21

27

FIRST

Final Note by the ~~Second~~ Member of Council.

Subject:—

Remuneration payable to Mr. G.H. Krumbiegal for work done by him for the Government during the period of his internment.

Page 13 of office Notes

As mentioned in my Final Note of the 25th August last, (Vide paragraph 7), the Huzur Secretary was consulted as to the amount of fees that might be paid to Mr. Krumbiegal for work done by him during the period of his internment. He furnished the Government with a list of plans prepared by Mr. Krumbiegal for the Palace during the above period, together with the approximate cost of each item. The total cost of the works, as roughly estimated by the Huzur Secretary, does not exceed Rs.1,13,000/- at the outside, and Mr. Krumbiegal's fees calculated at 2½% would thus amount to Rs.2,725/-. The Palace having already paid Mr. Krumbiegal Rs.7,000/-, the Huzur Secretary is of opinion that there is nothing more for the Palace to pay him.

Pages 18 & 19 of -do-

2. Then, a similar list of the items pertaining to the Government, together with the approximate value of each, was called for, and obtained, from Mr. Krumbiegal, with the object of calculating the fees payable by Government.

3. I have since discussed this latter list with the Huzur Secretary, who is inclined to think that the estimates furnished by Mr. Krumbiegal are pitched at a rather high figure. This has been my own impression also, but the items are such that there can be a very large variation in the estimates, even amounting to cent per cent. It is not very easy to fix the figure definitely under the circumstances. A list revised in the light of the discussion I had with the Huzur Secretary on 15th March last, is put up herewith. It will be seen from it, that certain items found in Mr. Krumbiegal's list have since been removed from the Government list for transfer to the Palace list and

and that the total sum, as revised, is just 25% of that originally given. Remuneration calculated at 2½% (Vide my minute of 4th December 1920) on the revised total of Rs.3,57,000/- works out to Rs.8,925/-. I, therefore, recommended that a sum of Rs.8,500/- might be given to Mr. Krumbiegal in full and final settlement of his claim for work done for the Government during the period of his internment. The Financial Secretary is, however, of the opinion that there is no return to Government for the large payment of about Rs.9,000/- proposed and thinks that, if Mr. Krumbiegal should be paid any fee for services rendered by him, it had better be fixed as a lumpsum and suggests Rs.4,000/- instead. I do not see much force in the criticism of the Financial Secretary. Mr. Krumbiegal has done his portion of the work and has got to be paid for it. If, in view of financial stringency or other cause for which he is not responsible, execution of works is deferred, Mr. Krumbiegal cannot, in fairness to him, be held responsible for it.

Page 18 of Office Note

Pages 22 & 24 of -do-

4. The matter was considered in Council and it was resolved that Mr. Krumbiegal be given an honorarium of Rs.9,000/- for the work he did for Government during his internment period.

Page 25 of -do-

5. The papers having again been referred to the Financial Secretary, under Standing Orders, he adheres to the opinion expressed by him in his Note dated 31st March 1921.

Page 26 of -do-

6. The case is submitted for the gracious orders of His Highness.

P. Rajkashidas

25.5.21

24th May 1921.

MEMBER OF ~~COUNCIL~~.

29

Submitted to His Highness.

I submit herewith a Final Note by the Offg. First *Member of Council on the subject of* remuneration payable to Mr. G.H. Krumbiegel for work done by him for the Government during the period of his internment, *for His Highness' gracious approval.*

27th May 1927.

Dewan.

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

Grant of an honorarium of Rs.9,000 to Mr. G.H.Krumbiegel for the work done by him during the period of his internment.

1226

Order.

KRW
30/5/21

C 576

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 19 .

Serial No.

(*)

31. All urgent or confidential files returned with His Highness' orders may, in the absence of instructions or indications to the contrary, be ordinarily circulated to the Members of Council for perusal after the issue of orders.

33. The Secretaries concerned should see that orders in the cases referred to above are issued at once and that the files are returned immediately after to the Chief Secretary for circulation to the Members.

34. In all other cases, the files will first be circulated to the Members of Council at headquarters and then sent to the Secretariat concerned for the issue of orders.

Circulated to the Members of Council for perusal of His Highness' orders $\frac{\text{before}}{\text{after}}$ issue of orders. (*Vide* Rules 31, 33 and 34 of the Subsidiary Rules for the conduct of business of Council in the Manual of Council Rules and Procedure.)

Chief Secretary to Govt.

Through the Secretary to Government,

.....Department.

6492-116
7-6-1921.

FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL Seen
Early orders with issue reqd.
The two City mtgs. Clo. leave
reqd thereafter.
8.6.21

4092
8/6/21

SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL

THIRD MEMBER OF COUNCIL.
9/6/21.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2,

General and Revenue Secretariat. | CB File No. 209 of 1919.

Serial No.

Refce :- Dewan's & 1st Member's orders on pages 30 & 31 of the notes.

A statement showing the classification of works mentioned in the statement approved by the 1st member is put up. If the classification is approved the apportionment of the honorarium will be as noted below:—

	Rs
Govt :—	7,112
Bangalore City Mcl &	378
Mysore City "	1,510

A draft letter to the Presidents of the two city Municipal Councils is also put up.

13/6/1921 1st Member).

6795-94. 22-6-21.

Bm 20/6.

Both the Dr. Secy Ers please see me about this next?

Rec 24.6.21.

Typed Revised draft sub as personally instructed

Bm 5/7/21.

1st Member).

105-105- 6.7.21.

Don principles.

Rec 8.7.21.

Bm 8/7

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C. B. File No. 209 of 1919.

~~59~~ 33

Serial No.	
	To
4	The Hony. Secy Presidents, city Municipal Councils of Mysore & Bangalore. No. 182-3 Dy. 13-7-21. Enquiries if the Municipal Council are agreeable to bear a contribution of Rs 1510/Rs. 378 to be made to Mr. Krumbiegal for the services rendered in connection with the preparation of certain Municipal projects.
5	From the Honorary President, Bangalore city Municipality No 23/8/21 Regrets under the circumstances explained that the Contribution demanded from the Municipality may be waived.
A	Submit. Reply from the Mysore Mungl Ck will be awaited. Hon. Secy. In personal & further orders, dispatch, 10/8/21 on this letter as the reply is not satisfactory from Govt's standpoint. 10/8/21 Clk in charge 1st Accts 11/8
	A. yes. File may be submitted to the Secretary the Govt Sheets ask money for the work done & do not — for the Municipalities 13.8.21
	13/8 17.8.21

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Rcvd. on AUG 13 1921
Reg. No. 0013.

1061-32/4
12.8.21

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—500,000—30-6-19

G. R. S. III-2

~~G~~ 34

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 19

Serial No.

From the President, Mysore City Improvement Council

D.O. Lr 29/8/21

States that the works in the list for which Mr. Vrunbriegel prepared plans, (except the Trust Eastern Gate plans) are Trust Bd works and asks that the sum of Rs 1570 being the Remuneration proposed for Mr. Vrunbriegel, with be met from the Trust Board allotment and debited to the various works.

Submitted for orders.

Now the Bangalore Municipal Council 2/9/21 has not agreed to the proposal

Chiefly

Please note how the quota payable by Govt is to be paid, with reference to budget provision. (Whether there would be any objection to pay the whole amount and receive the municipal contributions) The matter should be regarded as very urgent.

2/9.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2)

672 35

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 19 .

Serial No.

Draft order is submitted.

hm
8/9/21

Revised draft submitted as per personal instructions of the Ldship Secy

hm
14/9/21

1st member
by ~~L Secretary~~)

by
13.9.21.

Secy. Fin. Dept.
letter of the 8th inst.
No. 1[illegible]/21.
dated 11/9/21.
165
14/9

Mr. Secy. This should be done in consultation with Fe. Secretary, if necy.
by
15.9.21

— While the Source should be tapped at the present junction of the two streams, the actual superstructure may be left without removing the masonry forming part of it. If it should be found necessary hereafter to open out the channel between the point at which it has been tapped and the main stream, it should be easy to do so.

Nothing in the Source is indicated on the draft.
If approved, draft may be sent to the Consulting Chief Engr.
by
15/9

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

I think it might be thought desirable to have a separate sanction for the allotment of the land already drawn upon for other purposes.
by
15-9-21

Serial No.

Secr favour restating if the Sources mentioned can't be used.

Bm
15/9.

Finl Secy

I have proposed that the grants referred to should be used for a more urgent purpose — the increased expenditure on high prices allce. I can not therefore say that proposed provision is available.

Actg Secy 15.9.21

Bm
15/9

Submitted
Actg
17/9/21

It may be possible to point out some source later in the year. At present

I am also unable to suggest any source, as I do not know which have been already appropriated & which are available. The Finl Secy can alone do this best.

Bm
19/9.

Chief Secy

To H Secy for remarks whether this may not be done. If not, a proper source may be suggested, if possible

Bm
20.9.21.

I can not agree to defer the solution of the question of funds: nor can I suggest any source. All grants, as I have said times without no, are at the disposal of the Departments concerned and held by the Finance

Bm
20.9.21

H Secy
Please reconsider & point out again if you can see whether any provision can be found in other grants. No reserve

Bm
20.9.21

Dept

NOTE—Contr. sheet.

GPB—25,000—30-4-15

12/11/21 37

Serial No.

Secd.

20/9/21 I have again gone through the Budget & can find no source available, except- probably Rs 5000/- for "Improvements to water supply of towns" under 30 "Grants for Public Improvements" though it will be hard to encroach on it.

20/9/21.

Query to be sent to Dist. Engineer by D.O. & Chief Secy

Meantime Mr Krumbiegel is pressing for any payment. Orders as to payment are requested

Sec 15/10

2nd member Secy

2364-228. 15.10.21. The work in question has been done by Mr. Krumbiegel under contract & he has to be paid for it. I do not know what facilities there is in keeping an individual cont. of what has been adjudged as done thus owing to some technical (financial) difficulty Mr. Krumbiegel is in need of money & he is pressing for payment. Which, I do not think, can be considered unreasonable. I have initialled the draft. But as Secd. opinion is qualified as regards the finance indicated file may be submitted to the Dewan. The Govt

Please [illegible]

& Council [illegible] 20.10.21

Secy 25.10.21.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

C-211. 38

Extract from the Proceedings of the 587th *Meeting of the Council of His Highness the Maharaja, held at Bangalore on the* 29th October 1921. *19*.

111. Subject. - Clause xv of the schedule.

Amount of fees to be paid to Mr. G. H. Krumbiegel for Government work done by him during the period of his internment.

OPINION OF THE COUNCIL.

This is to be paid as a lump sum bonus in full settlement of Mr. Krumbiegel's claims for all work done for Government during his internment. An additional grant may be given to the Public Works budget to meet this extra charge.

(Signed) M. KANTARAJ URS,
Dewan of Mysore.

"EXTRACT"

Chief Secretary to Government.

WD 1471—GPS—2,000—1-3-21

211

G. R. S. 12] W D 473—GPB—1,000—12-9-18

39

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 1921-22

*9. (a) If the views expressed by the Financial Secretary in any case, whether original or un-officially referred, are not accepted by the Member of Government in charge, or by the Dewan and Members of Council, as the case may be, the file containing the discussions shall be sent to the Financial Secretary a second time, in order to enable him, in cases in which he considers that the orders proposed to be issued are opposed to financial rules of Government or to recognised financial principles or for other reasons of a financial nature, are open to objection, to record a respectful representation to that effect, which will be placed by the Dewan before His Highness the Maharaja for consideration.

(b) All cases sent to the Financial Secretary under this rule should be treated as immediate and returned to the Department concerned within three days of receipt.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Council is put up.

Forwarded, with compliments, to the Financial Secretary to Government, under paragraph 9 * of the Government Order No. G. 17572-8—G. M. 676-17-1, dated 22nd June 1918.

By Order,

1.11.21

Chief Secretary to Government.

The part has been S.R. by 4th and it may be made.

The part of the Council resolution relating to the additional grant is not financially feasible. There is no surplus and no additional grant is possible. There is a big deficit and this part will add to it.

1.11.21

Submitted to Second Member for Final Note.

2.11.21

25-5-4-37

2.11.21

* D. R. portion.

Rule

3.4.21

D. S. R. Subd

Dewan)

Final Note by the Second Member of Council.

Subject:—

Remuneration payable to Mr. G.H. Krumbiegal for work done by him for the Government during the period of his internment.

Pages 27 & 28 of Office Note

Kind attention is invited to my Final Note dated 25th May last and His Highness' Orders thereon, regarding the remuneration to be paid to Mr. Krumbiegal.

2. Under instructions from the Dewan, the Presidents of the two City Municipalities were addressed regarding the contribution payable by the two respective bodies towards the remuneration to Mr. Krumbiegal. As regards the sum of Rs.1,510/- payable in connection with the works relating to the Mysore City Municipality, the Honorary President, Mysore City Municipal Council, suggests that it may be met from the allotment for the Mysore City Improvement Trust Board, as the cost of almost all those works has been met from the same source. The President, Bangalore City Municipal Council, however, states that, under Standing Orders, the Municipal Council may take the advice of the Provincial Officers in matters of big schemes touching sanitation, engineering etc., and that the officers concerned should give such advice, free of cost, and requests that the demand for the contribution of Rs.378/- from the Municipal Fund may be waived.

3. Deducting the sum of Rs.1,808/- (made up of two items, viz., Rs.151 and Rs.378/-) being the total contribution payable by the two City Municipalities, the amount that the Government has got to pay Mr. Krumbiegal is Rs.7,112/-.

The Financial Secretary who was consulted as to the source from which this amount could be found states he is unable to suggest any source.

4. The work in question has been done by Mr. Krumbiegal under proper authority and he has to be paid for it. I do not know if there is any fairness in keeping an individual out of what has been adjudged as due to him owing to some technical (financial) difficulty. Mr. Krumbiegal is in need of money and is pressing for payment, which, I do not think, can be considered unreasonable.

5. The matter was considered in Council at the meeting held on 29th October 1921 and the following is the resolution recorded:-

Page 38 of office notes

"This is to be paid as a lumpsum bonus in full settlement of Mr. Krumbiegal's claims for all work done for Government during his internment. An additional grant may be given to the Public Works Budget to meet this extra charge."

6. The above resolution having been referred to the Financial Secretary, under Standing Orders, the following opinion has been recorded by him:- Page 39 of office-

"The payment has been sanctioned by His Highness and it may be made.

The part of the Council Resolution relating to the additional grant is not financially feasible. There is no surplus and no additional grant is possible. There is a big deficit and this payment will add to it."

7. Gracious orders of His Highness are solicited on the case.

4th November 1921.

P. Raghavendra Rao
4.11.21
MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

42

G. R. S. IV—6]

Submitted to His Highness.

I submit herewith a Final Note by the Second *Member of Council on the subject of* remuneration payable to Mr. G.H.Krumbiegel for work done by him for the Government during the period of his internment, *for His Highness' gracious approval.*

Dewan.

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja

Subject.

Remuneration payable to Mr. G.H. Krumbiegel for work done by him for the Government during the period of his internment.

Order.

~~KRW~~

9/11/21

Ch. Sey

10-11-21

C 211-21

1240

111

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | CB File No. 289 of 19 21

Serial No.

Paras 1 & 4 of the draft order added to in accordance with the Resolution of the Council of 29.10.21 and submtd.

10/11/21 Checked

Imperial Expenses by 17/11

2nd Secretary

Secn. G.O may issue now.

Rue
18.11.21

21

2860-25.
17-11-21.

D/O opinion is noted in page: 39
H.H. has approved the proposal.
Draft D/O to him communicating the order
Submtd.

21/11

22/11

To Comptr
Rs. 2805
of 22/11/21

D 1066-21

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

C 211

[G. R. S. III-2.]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 1921-22.

Serial No.

(*)

31. All urgent or confidential files returned with His Highness' orders may, in the absence of instructions or indications to the contrary, be ordinarily circulated to the Members of Council for perusal after the issue of orders.

33. The Secretaries concerned should see that orders in the cases referred to above are issued at once and that the files are returned immediately after to the Chief Secretary for circulation to the Members.

34. In all other cases, the files will first be circulated to the Members of Council at headquarters and then sent to the Secretariat concerned for the issue of orders.

Circulated to the Members of Council for perusal of His Highness' orders ~~before~~ after issue of orders. (*Vide* Rules 31, 33 and 34 or the Subsidiary Rules for the conduct of business of Council in the Manual of Council Rules and Procedure.)

26/11/21

Chief Secretary to Govt.

Through the Secretary to Government,

..... Department.

FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL

SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL

THIRD MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

30/11

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

WD (923 GPB—500—8-11-21

2368
17

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Telegraphic Address

No. 109-9/H
Encls. 2-11-45

1939/CB

OFFICE OF
The Superintendent,
Government Botanic Gardens,
Lalbagh, Bangalore,
Date 1st November 1945.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General Department,
B a n g a l o r e .

3/11
CS
8/11

Sir,

I have the honour to request you kindly to send a copy of - Government Order No. 2791-3/C.B.209-19-6 dated 19th November 1921, wherein Mr.G.H.Krumbiegel was authorised to receive a sum of Rs 9,000/- for his having prepared plans and estimates for certain works relating to the - Government, and the Mysore and the Bangalore City Municipalities since the same is required for reference in this office.

Enclo

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant,
Superintendent,
Government Gardens.
1/11/45.

Rockwood
This may be referred to C.B to Romply with a copy of G.O, if possible
8-11-45
8/11

Asst Secy C.B
8/11

CB
9/11
CB 1939
CP

The copy of the G.O asked for by the Supdt Govt gardens was there addressed to the Comptroller & to the Presidents of Bangalore & Mysore City Municipalities and Sanction for orders as to whether a copy may be sent to the Supdt P.G.
A draft is also submitted for orders.
10/11/45.

(The G.O. was not addressed to Supdt-Govt. Gardens & it was a personal payment to Mr Krumbiegel, out of P. W Budget)
There seems however to be no objn to sending a Copy to the Gardens Deptt for personal reference
13/11/45

CHIEF SECRETARY. 1. 2 NOV. 1945 GOVT. OF MYSORE
3615

No. 1923/CS & 15.11.45
To the Supdt P.G.
13/11
CP

CB 209-9-1 CB 102

No.1642 Encl

No.E.33-19-20

OFFICE OF THE ECONOMIC BOTANIST,
SUPERINTENDENT, GOVT. GARDENS,
LAL-BAGH, BANGALORE.

TEL. ADDRESS :
"HORTI. BANGALORE."

DATED, 9th *March* *192* 0.

From

G. H. KRUMBIEGEL Esq., F.R.H.S.,
ECONOMIC BOTANIST,
SUPERINTENDENT, GOVT. GARDENS.

To

C.S. BALASUNLARAM IYER, Esq., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Govt. of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

With reference to Government Order No.G.13419-21/G.M.373-15-3 dated 27th March 1916 confirming Government Order No.C.B. 944-45/C.B 131-19-8 dated 8th January 1920, sanctioning the period of my absence after the expiry of 3 months' privilege leave and 3 months leave on private affairs as "Leave without allowances" I have the honor to submit the following for the favorable consideration of Government.

By classing my absence as "leave without allowances" I not only lost the whole of my remuneration, but even the increments to my salary have been disallowed.

A reference was made to Government of India and they sanctioned that I should be allowed to continue doing my official work in Camp. I have under that arrangement carried on the work of (a) drawing up plans for improvement work that has been going on. (b) given instruction on details for their execution (c) passed order on periodical reports for the maintenance work of garden and (d) dealt with most of the important papers of the Department.

My absence was not leave in the sense in which the Service Regulations interpret the term. I am aware that as leave I could

could under the Service Regulations not get sanction for more than 3 month privilege leave and 3 months on private affairs as my service was just short of the time required for furlough and I am beholden to Government for permitting the continued occupation of my official quarters and to His Highness for help to my family.

At the time of leaving I had asked to give me the benefit of the privilege leave which I had allowed to lapse, and --- furlough pay for the rest of my absence, but as the length of absence and the question whether I would be allowed to work during that time were then not known, this question, I realise, was difficult to settle. For the retention of my Service-Status, the leave as sanctioned served for the time, it being understood that the actual case could be taken up on my return.

I now pray that Government be pleased to reconsider the question as to how the absence may be treated in view of the fact that I have not actually been on leave but have continued my official work.

I leave the matter entirely to the generous consideration of Government and take the liberty of giving below a few bases of calculation merely as comparison --

I have been absent in all 49 months, six of which have been already sanctioned and paid as leave, leaving 43 for consideration.

(a) If these are paid at half pay and not counting increments the amount would be:--

43 months at Rs. 450= ..	Rs. 20,700
less amount received from His Highness the Maharaja	Rs. 6,000
Balance ..	Rs. 14,700

(b) As previous to 1916, I was singlehanded and the work was heavy I have not been able to take a days leave in the whole of my 8 year service; if the 5 months ~~pa~~ lapsed --- privilege are given towards this settlement and the remainder counted at ½ pay the case is as follows:-

5 month at Rs.900/-= 4,500) 38 " " Rs.450/- =18,100)	..	Rs. 22,600
less amount received.	..	Rs. 6,000
Balance.	..	Rs. 16,600

(c) I attach a list of plans prepared and revised. If the work done was calculated as architect's fees for plans and technical advice taking the cost of the works dealt with at only 10 lakhs it would stand:-

10 lakhs at 2½ percent =	..	Rs. 25,000
less already received.		6,000
Balance.	..	Rs. 19,000

Increments:- Owing to the fact that my absence has been treated as leave "without allowances" the Comptroller has, in accordance with Service Regulations, disallowed all increments accruing during this period and my pay is now paid on the scale of 4 years ago. Even if Government is pleased to --- disallow increments in calculating the moisty of the pay for work done during the absence, I think Government will bear with me in thinking that at least the present and future pay should not be affected by this undeserved disability, otherwise I shall never reach ry maximum pay.

As it is, that consummation has been retarted by the fact that in the final agreement the increment was fixed at Rs.25/- per annum while in the preliminary negotations I had put it at Rs.50/- which would have given me the maximur pay in 1915 whereas now it will take another 4 years to reach it, and

and if the present disallowance was to hold good it would take another 8 years.

It is very distasteful to me to have to trouble Government with my affairs but, apart from the loss of pay, I have had other pecuniary losses on account of war measures and through no fault of mine, but I have every confidence that Government will recognise that my absence was not in nature of leave taken for personal benefits and that the work I have done should not go entirely unremunerated.

In conclusion, I repeat that I do not wish to be understood as making this appeal in the sense of a claim, but leave the matter entirely to the generous consideration of Government.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Superintendent.

G.V.23.

Original Designs prepared and some of the plans dealt with for alteration, etc.,

-:0:-

1. Garden round Karikal Motti, Mysore.
2. Maid Servant's passage with Pergola, Mysore.
3. Garden at "Ismailia" and "Uplands" Bangalore.
4. Garden at "Beauleau" Bangalore.
5. Final plan of North Garden, Mysore.
6. Extension of Zoo at Mysore.
7. Final layout of Eastern Extension, Mysore.
8. Garden round Memorial Hall, Seringapatam.
9. Garden round Memorial Mantap, Seringapatam.
10. Garden round Guesthouse, Bangalore.
11. Staircase and alterations to Her Highness old Staircase Hall Bangalore Palace.
12. Layout of "Beaufort" and adjoining properties.
13. New Guesthouse garden, Mysore.
14. New Staircase Hall, His Highness quarters, Bangalore Palace
15. Revised plan for Office and Saleroom, Curzon Park, Mysore.
16. Two plans showing alteration layout of the Tuberculosis Sanatorium, Mysore.
17. Italian Garden round Karanji near Palace Mysore.
18. Revised design for Garage, Bangalore Palace. —
19. Layout of Lakshmipuram Extension, Mysore.
20. Garden parterre at Government House, Mysore.
21. Krangere Gate Square and Bannimantap Parade Ground.
22. Rose Garden for Bangalore Palace.

---

23. Guest Palace, Mysore, Revision of some 20 - 25 plans with sketches and drawings for alterations proposed by me.
24. Details for lift at Bangalore Palace
25. Revised levels of North Garden, Mysore.
26. Guest Palace grounds, plans showing earthwork with alteration in contours.

27. Alteration to Planthouse, Palace Bangalore. 100/-

28. Laundry proposed for Bangalore Palace. 200/-

29. Alterations of compound wall and roads with location of Dairy and other service buildings and new road to Polo ground. 100/-

30. Plans for "Bloomfield" and other cottage gardens, Mysore. 100/-

31. Alterations round Fernery and new Tenniscourts, Bangalore Palace. 100/-

32. Changes near Temple Summer Palace, Mysore. 100/-

33. Realignment and improvement of Palace Road, Bangalore. 200/-

34. Chamundi Hill, Palace design - plan showing alterations.

35. Layout of Fruitgarden at Chammanpatti 100/-

36. Landing circle, alteration to centre garden

37. Dasara Exhibition plan alteration and instructions.

38. Eastern gateway Mysore.

39. ~~Sir Krishnarajendra~~ Krishnarajendra Hospital garden, Mysore.

40. Representative Assembly Hall, alterations in plan.

41. Arni Hall alteration. 200/-

42. Lal-Bagh Western Extension with newroads in Kavally and Kankanpallya.

43. Cubbon Park Extension Plans of various section etc., etc.,

---

Probable Estimate for Palace Work
Assume Sum Rs - 36,000
2½% - 900

Original designs prepared and some of the plans dealt with for alteration, etc., together with approximate value of each of the works.

****

G O V E R N M E N T:-

		Rs.
1.	Design for remodelling the garden round Karikal Thotti, Mysore.	20,000.
2.	Plan, section and elevation proposed for the Maidservants' passage with Pergola, Mysore.	80,000.
5.	Final Plan of the layout of North Garden Mysore.	20,000.
7.	Final layout of Eastern Extension, Mysore	80,000.
8.	Design for a garden round Memorial Hall, Seringapatam	5,000.
10.	Plan for a garden round Guest House, Bangalore.	2,000.
15.	Revised plan for Office and Saleroom Curzon Park, Mysore.	5,000.
16.	Two plans showing alterations, layout of the Tuberculosis Sanitorium, Mysore ...	20,000.
17.	Italian Garden proposed round Karanji near Palace, Mysore	15,000.
19.	Design for the layout of Lakshmipuram Extension, Mysore	60,000.
20.	Plan for the Garden Parterre, North of Government House, Mysore	20,000.
21.	Plan of the layout of Erangere Gates Square and Bannimantap Parade Ground, Mysore	20,000.

		Rs.	
25.	Revised levels and section of North Garden, Mysore	5,000.	—
26.	Guest Palace Grounds, Plans showing earth work with alteration in contours ...	20,000.	10,000/-
33.	A plan for the complete realighnment and improvement of Palace Road, Bangalore	40,000.	2 10,000/-
37.	Dassara Exhibition Plan showing alteration in the layout and instructions for treating other parts	10,000.	—
38.	Plan of the Eastern Gateway, Mysore, with treatment of Fortwall	20,000.	10,000/-
39.	Plan for the layout of Krishnaraja Hospital Garden, Mysore	5,000.	500/-
40.	Revising plan of Representative Assembly Hall alterations in plan and elevation	50,000.	—
42.	Plan of the proposed Lal Bagh Western Extension with new roads in Mavahalli and Kankanpallya	15,000.	5000/-
43.	Plans of various sections in Cubbon Park and its extension	20,000.	1000/-
23.	Guests' Palace, Mysore. Revision of 20-35 plans with sketches and drawings for alterations proposed	10,00,000.	2,40,00 2,00,000/-
	T O T A L.	15,32,000.	~~2,46,000/-~~

/Signed/. G.H. Krumbiegel.
Economic Botanist,
Superintendent, Government Gardens.

"C O P Y"

Original designs prepared and some of the plans dealt with for alteration, etc., together with approximate value of each of the works.

****

P A L A C E:-

	Rs.	
3. Layout of the Garden at "Ismalia" & "Uplands", Bangalore	35,000.	H H 4 S
6. Layout of the Extension of Zoo at Mysore ...	80,000.	20,000/-
9. Garden around Memorial Mantap, Seringapatam	5,000.	1000/-
11. Designing a staircase and proposing alterations to Her Highness old Staircase Hall, Bangalore Palace	4,000.	5000/-
12. Layout of Beaufort and adjoining properties	6,000.	10,000/-
13. Layout of the New Guest House Garden, Mysore	5,000.	-
14. Proposal to new Staircase Hall to His Highness Quarters, Bangalore Palace	15,000.	15,000/-
18. Revised design for Garage, Bangalore Palace	20,000.	5,000/-
22. Layout of the Rose Garden for Bangalore Palace	1,000.	500/-
24. Details for Electric lift at Bangalore Palace	3,000.	5000/-
27. Alterations to Plant House Palace, Bangalore	2,000.	1000/-
28. Proposing a design for a Laundry for Bangalore Palace	6,000.	5000/-
29. Alterations of Compound Wall, Roads with location of Dairy and other Service Buildings and New Road to Polo Ground	5,000.	5000/-
30. Layout of the "Bloomfield" and other Cottage Gardens, Mysore	2,000.	500/-

72000

		Rs.	
31,	Alterations round Fernery and New Tennis Courts, Bangalore Palace ...	4,000.	2000/-
32.	Changes proposed near Temple, Summer Palace, Mysore	1,000.	1000/-
34.	Alterations proposed to the Palace Design of the Chamundi Hill	10,000.	10,000/-
35.	Layout of Fruit Garden at Chamman Patti	2,000.	1,000/-
41.	Alterations proposed to the Arny Hall Buildings	5,000.	5000/-
	T O T A L. ...	2,11,000.	9 2,000/-

/Signed/. G.H. Krumbiegel.

Economic Botanist,

Superintendent, Government Gardens.

"C O P Y"

Ulw

G 11766
07/15/12 Sec -

Dear Sir,

Please refer to your letter No 1642/G 33-14-20 dtd 26th March 1920 in which you have estimated the cost of the works for which you prepared or Revised plans during your internment at Rs 10 Lacks. The list attached to your letter, however, contains no information about the cost of each of the works mentioned. Will you kindly let me have two lists one ~~declaring the plans prepared or Revised by you~~ containing Govt works & the other Palace works for which you prepared plans during the period showing the estimated cost of each item of work & oblige?

Yours truly

Sd/ 15/12

Sd/ Kumbiegal Eng
Executive Engr

20/3 Secy to Govt
10/12/20.

Dear Sir,

Please refer to your letter No. 1642/G 33-14-20 dated 26th March 1920 in which you have estimated the cost of the works for which you prepared or revised plans during your internment at Rs 10 lacks. The list attached to your letter however, contains no information about the cost of each of the works mentioned. Will you kindly let me have two lists one ~~declaring the plans prepared or revised by you~~ containing Govt. works & the other Palace works for which you prepared plans during the period showing also the estimated cost of each item of work & oblige?

Yours truly

Govt. Archaeological Engineer, Bombay

20/3 10/12/20.

No. 1376 Encs. 2

D 7474

TEL. ADDRESS: "HORTI. BANGALORE."

Office of the Economic Botanist with the Government of Mysore.
Government Botanic Gardens. Lal-Bagh.
Bangalore (India.)

G. H. KRUMBIEGEL, F.R.H.S.,
ECONOMIC BOTANIST.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT
IV
MINOR Depts.
10 JAN 1921

Dated 7th January 1921.

My dear Sir,

In compliance with your D.O. No.G.11766/G.M. dated 15th December 1920, I enclose a fresh list of plans with approximate cost of the different works.

As you have asked for, the list of Government works I have shown these separately. If it is your intention not to take into consideration, the plans done for the Palace then the amount (Rs.6,000) already received from the Palace should not be deducted in the total, as that sum was graciously given by His Highness for work done for the Palace.

In my former statement, I had only roughly calculated the cost of the work, and the total I rounded off to 10 lacks

10 lacks on account of the plans of the New Guest Palace. In connecting with this latter work however, I have revised the whole of the plans and the salient features of the Building as now adopted, such as the arrangement in semi-detached Blocks, the Stair Halls, the better arrangements of the Banquetting Hall and Ballroom with access to the Palm courts, the interior arrangements of the Rooms, and many of the exterior details are mine.

As the Architect gets 2½% for original plans and sketches only on the total of about 40 lacks, I can without hesitation, claim a percentage fee on 10 lacks, as I have shown in the present statement.

You will therefore see that my original rough estimate on a 10 lacks basis was considerably underestimated, and that you would not be overpaying me even if you take the original figure (Rs.25,000 on 10 lacks) for Government works only and without deducting the Rs.6,000 already received on account of Palace works.

Yours sincerely,

Chas Krumbiegel

My

Original designs prepared and some of the plans dealt with for alteration, etc., together with approximate value of each of the works.

-----:(:oOo:):-----

C O V E R N M E N T :-

1.	Design for remoddling the garden round Karikal Thotti, Mysore.	..	20,000
2.	Plan, section and elevation proposed for the Maidservants Passage with Pergola, Mysore.	..	80,000
5.	Final Plan of the layout of North Garden Mysore.	..	20,000
7.	Final layout of Eastern Extension, Mysore	..	80,000
8.	Design for a garden round Memorial Hall, Seringapatam.	..	5,000
10.	Plan for a garden round Guest House, Bangalore.	..	2,000
15.	Revised Plan for Office & Saleroom Curzon Park, Mysore.	..	5,000
16.	Two plans showing alterations layout of the Tuberculosis Sanitorium, Mysore.	..	20,000
17.	Italian Garden proposed round Karanji near Palace, Mysore.	..	15,000
19.	Design for the layout of Lakshmipuram Extension, Mysore.	..	60,000
20.	Plan for the Garden Parterre North of Govt. House, Mysore.	..	20,000
21.	Plan of the layout of Erangere Gates Square and Bannimantap Parade Ground, Mysore.	..	20,000
25.	Revised levels and section of North Garden Mysore.	..	5,000
26.	Guest Palace Grounds, Plans showing earth work with alteration in contours.	..	20,000
33.	A plan for the complete realighnment and improvement of Palace Road, Bangalore.	..	40,000

37.	Dassara Exhibition Plan showing alteration in the layout and instructions for treating other parts.	..	10,000	
38.	Plan of the Eastern Gateway Mysore, with treatment of Fortwall.	..	20,000	
39.	Plan for the layout of Krishnaraja Hospital Garden, Mysore.	..	5,000	
40.	Revising plan of Representative Assembly Hall, alterations in plan and elevation.	..	50,000	
42.	Plan of the proposed Lal-Bagh Western extension with new roads in Mavahalli and Kankanpallya.	..	15,000	
43.	Plans of various sections in Cubbon Park and its extension.	..	20,000	
	T o t a l.	..	...	5,32,000
23.	Guests Palace, Mysore, Revision of 20-35 plans with sketches and drawings for alterations proposed.	..	10,00,000	10,00,000
	T o t a l.	..		15,32,000

Economic Botanist,

Superintendent, Govt.Gardens.

Original designs prepared and some of the plans dealt with for alteration, etc., together with approximate value of each of the works.

----:(:O:):----

P A L A C E :-

3.	Layout of the Garden at "Ismalia" & "Uplands", Bangalore.	..	35,000	
6.	Layout of the Extension of Zoo at Mysore	..	80,000	20,000
9.	Garden around Memorial Mantap, Seringapatam.	..	5,000	1000
11.	Designing a Staircase & proposing alterations to Her Highness old Staircase Hall, Bangalore Palace.	..	4,000	5000
12.	Layout of Beaufort and adjoining properties.	..	6,000	10,000
13.	Layout of the New Guest House Garden, Mysore.	..	5,000	
14.	Proposal to new Staircase Hall to His Highness Quarters, Bangalore Palace	..	15,000	15000
18.	Revised design for Garage Bangalore Palace.	..	20,000	5000
22.	Layout of the Rose Garden for Bangalore Palace.	..	1,000	500
24.	Details for Electric lift at Bangalore Palace.	..	3,000	5000
27.	Alterations to Plant House Palace, Bangalore.	..	2,000	1000
28.	Proposing a design for a Laundry for Bangalore Palace.	..	6,000	5000
29.	Alterations of Compound wall, Roadswith location of Dairy and other Service Buildings and New Road to Polo Ground	..	5,000	5000

30.	Layout of the "Bloomfield" & other Cottage Gardens, Mysore.	..	Rs. 2,000 -	500
31.	Alterations round Fernery & New Tennis Courts, Bangalore Palace.	..	4,000 -	2,000
32.	Changes proposed near Temple, Summer Palace, Mysore.	..	1,000 -	100
34.	Alterations proposed to the Palace Design of the Chamundi Hill.	..	10,000 -	10,000
35.	Layout of Fruit Garden at Chamman Patti	..	2,000	1,000
41.	Alterations proposed to the Army Hall Buildings.	..	5,000	5,000
	T o t a l .	..		2,11,000

Economic Botanist,

Superintendent, Govt. Gardens.

Original designs prepared and some of the plans dealt with for alteration, etc., together with approximate value of each of the works.

****

GOVERNMENT.	Original figure. Rs.	Revised figure. Rs.
5. Final plan of the layout of North Garden, Mysore ...	20,000.	10,000.
7. Final layout of Eastern Extension, Mysore.	80,000.	10,000.
10. Plan for a garden round Guest House, Bangalore	2,000.	1,000.
15. Revised plan for Office and Saleroom, Curzon Park, Mysore.	5,000.	5,000.
16. Two plans showing alterations, layout of the Tuberculosis Sanitorium, Mysore.	20,000.	10,000.
19. Design for the layout of Lakshmipuram Extension, Mysore ...	60,000.	10,000.
20. Plan for the Garden Parterre, North of Government House, Mysore.	20,000.	5,000.
21. Plan of the layout of Erangere Gates Square and Bannimantap Parade Ground, Mysore.	20,000.	5,000.
25. Revised levels and section of North Garden, Mysore	5,000.	5,000.
26. Guest Palace Grounds, Plans showing earth work with alteration in contours	20,000.	10,000.
33. A plan for the complete realighnment and improvement of Palace Road, Bangalore	40,000.	10,000.
37. Dasara Exhibition Plan showing alteration in the layout and instructions for treating other parts ...	10,000.	10,000.
38. Plan of the Eastern Gateway, Mysore, with treatment of Fortwall ...	20,000.	10,000.
39. Plan for the layout of Krishnaraja Hospital Garden, Mysore ...	5,000.	500.
40. Revising Plan of Representative Assembly Hall alterations in plan and elevation	50,000.	50,000.
C/D.	3,77,000.	1,51,500.

		Original figure. Rs.	Revised figure. Rs.
	B/F.	3,77,000.	1,51,500.
B	42. Plan of the proposed Lal Bagh Western Extension with new roads in Mavahalli and Kankanpallya.	15,000.	5,000.
G	43. Plans of various sections in Cubbon Park and its extension ...	20,000.	1,000.
G	23. Guests' Palace, Mysore. Revision of 20-35 plans with sketches and drawings for alterations proposed.	10,00,000.	2,00,000.
	TOTAL.	14,12,000.	3,57,500.

16.8.21

No 182-3 /CB 209-19-4.

Dated 13th July 1921

To

The Honorary President
City Municipal Council
(1) Mysore
(2) Bangalore.

Sir,

Sd by Mr K. Mathan
13/7/21.

During the period of the late war, when Mr. G.H.Krumbiegal was in internment, his services were laid under requisition in connection with the preparation and scrutiny of Government and Municipal projects. As he was not on duty under this Government at the time, and did not draw the pay of his appointment, he has now made a representation that he may be remunerated suitably for the above services. The Government have considered his representation and have decided to pay him a lump sum remuneration of ---- Rs.9,000/- in respect of the preparation, and scrutiny, of the projects so dealt with by him and estimated to cost Rs.3,57,500.

The projects concerning the Mysore / Bangalore ---- Municipality which were referred to Mr. Krumbiegal are noted in the margin. The Municipal Council will doubtless see the reasonableness of bearing their proportion of the remuneration payable to Mr.Krumbiegal. I am accordingly to request that you will kindly obtain their concurrence to the payment of Rs.1510 / Rs.378 to him and indicate the head of account to which it may be debited in your Municipal Accounts by the Comptroller at the time of payment.

The favour of an early reply is requested.

I have &c.,

Chief Secretary to Government.

C. B. 209-19-5.

No. 23
8/8/21

OFFICE OF THE CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,
Bangalore City, dated 6th August 1921.

459
CB. ~~460~~.

From

B. K. GARUDACHAR, ESQ.,
President, Municipal Council,
BANGALORE CITY.

To

K.Matthan,Esq.,B.A.,
Offg.Chief Secretary to the
Government of His Highness the
Maharaja of Mysore,Bangalore.

S i r,

With reference to your letter No182-3/C.B.209-19 dated 13-7-1921, enquiring if the Municipal Council are agreeable to bear a contribution of Rs.378/- to be made to Mr. -- Krumbiegal, for the services rendered in connection with the preparation of certain Municipal ~~properties~~ prospects, I have the honor to state that the advice and suggestion of Mr.Krumbiegal was taken as per desire of the Government. It was therefore considered that the services were voluntary. The question of remuneration was not raised then. Government have ordered that the Municipal Council may take the advice of the Provincial Officers in matters of big schemes concerning sanitation, Engineering etc., and that the officers thus requisitioned will give their advice free of cost. I therefore request that the demand for this contribution from Municipal funds may be waived

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

President.

HRS-6.

5537

192 .

From The Honorary President
City Municipal Council
Bangalore

To The Chief Secretary
Government
New Secretariat Bangalore

Dated
Despatched } 8 8 21
Received

General No. 23
No.

GEN & REV. SECTT
CB CA
9 AUG 1921

Enclosure No. –

Re Remuneration to
Mr Brumbiegel:-

Requesting to waive
the demand for contribution
from said funds:-

CB·672

CITY IMPT. TRUST BOARD,
MYSORE.

Dated 29th August 1921.

Dear Sir,

Your letter of 13th July 1921 anent payment of Rs. 1,510 to Mr.Krumbegel for plans and projects got up by him has been transferred to me by the Municipality. All the works noted in the list received with your letter are Trust Board works, and every one of them excepting the Fort Eastern Gate plan were met from allotment reserved for special works to be sanctioned directly by Government. All these works are still incomplete, as also the Eastern gate lay out.

2. If Government approve of, I can meet this sum of Rs. 1,510, out of the allotment, and debit it to the various works proportionately.

Yours faithfully,

Sikkanniya

REPLY

(*To be written on this margin.*)

OFFICE OF THE ~~SECOND~~ Offg Secl.
MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

NOTE

1480-353 Dated 29. 8. 1921.
29.8.21

I understand that the remuneration and leave to Mr. Krumbeigel for services rendered to him during the period of his incarcerament (War) has not yet been paid to him and that the delay is causing him much personal inconvenience. I shall be glad to know what the position is. If orders have not yet issued, the matter may be expedited.

Neel
29.8.21

To
Mr. Ch. Secy.
With Compts.
Commerce file 29/8

Ch Secy.
Remarks to the Mysore City Municipality has been issued to-day.
Nw
29/8/21

Urgent

No. 8240

TEL. ADDRESS:
"HORTI. BANGALORE."

Office of the Economic Botanist with the Government of Mysore,
Government Botanic Gardens, Lal-Bagh,
Bangalore (India.)

CB 1240.

Ch Secy with compts -

9.10.21.

G. H. KRUMBIEGEL, F.R.H.S.,
ECONOMIC BOTANIST.

Dated...7th..October....1921.

To
The Hon'ble Mr Ramaswamiah,

In the matter of my remuneration during my intern
ment subritted with this office No.1642 dated 26th March
1920, I believe sanction has been accorded and that it -
is only a question as to how to apportion the amount bet
-ween various Departments. As my family cannot get --
suitable quarters in England and the only way out of --
their difficulty is to buy a small house, I am very urgently
required to remit this and shall feel much obliged to -
you if you can kindly see your way to cause payment of
the amount sanctioned to be made to me pending the appor
-tionment which is after all only a departmental matter

I am not aware of this

Thanking you in anticipation,

Yours sincerely,

S.W.O.

The connected file is before the Chief Financial Secy - in connection with the source from which the charge is to be met.

15/10/21

Chief

15/10

Proceedings of the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

...

Read:-

Para 2 of Govt. Order No.1929-13/ C.B.209-19-3 dated 7th April 1921, stating that separate orders would be passed regarding the grant of a suitable remuneration to Mr. G.H.Krumbiegel, Govt. Economic Botanist, for work done by him on behalf of Govt. during the period of his internment.

SQ Govt. G.V.R 19.11.21

2. Correspondence ending with letter No.23 dated 8th August 1921 from the Hony. President, Bangalore City Municipal Council regarding the contribution payable from the Bangalore City Municipal funds towards the remuneration of Mr. Krumbiegel.

3. Letter dated 29th August 1921 from the Hony. President, Mysore City Municipal Council on the same subject.

ORDER NO. 2791-3 / C.B. 209-19-6
Dated, 19th / November 1921

During his internment Mr. Krumbiegel prepared plans and estimates for certain works relating to Govt. and the Mysore and the Bangalore City Municipalities and has requested that suitable remuneration may be sanctioned to him. The Government are pleased, after considering the matter, ~~are pleased~~ to sanction a remuneration of Rs.9000/--

to Mr Krumbiegel,
(as per details given below in full settlement of his claims for all work done for Govt during his retirement:—

For preparing plans for Govt. works at an estimated cost of Rs.2,82,500 ..		Rs.7,112/-
-Do- for works relating to ~~the~~ Mysore City ~~XXXXXXX~~ ~~XXXX~~ Municipality at an estimated cost of Rs60000		" 1,510/-
-Do.- for Bangalore City Municipality at an estimated cost of Rs.15,000		" 378/-
Total	...	Rs.9,000/-

2. As regards the sum of Rs.1,510/- payable in connection with the works relating to the Mysore City Municipality, the Hony. President, Mysore City Municipality states that it may be met from the allotment for the Mysore City Improvement Trust Board as the cost of almost all those works was met from the same fund. This proposal is approved, the sum of Rs.1510 being debited proportionately to the various works.

3. The President, Bangalore City Municipal Council, however, states that under standing orders the Municipal Council may take the advise of the Provincial Officers in matters of big schemes touching sanitation, engineering, etc. and that the officers concerned should ~~be~~ given such advice, free of cost, and requests that the demand for the contribution of Rs.378 from the municipal funds may be waived. Government regret that this proposal can not be approved, ~~request could not be sanctioned~~ as

Mr. Krumbiegel during his internment could ~~cannot~~ not be considered as a Provincial Officer, but only as an expert whose advice was taken in regard to the Scheme of ~~municipal~~ works. The remuneration payable should, therefore, be met from the municipal funds.

4. The Comptroller is requested to arrange for the payment of the full amount Rs.9000/- to Mr.Krumbiegel and to recover ~~xxxx~~ the amounts of Rs.1,510/- and Rs.378 from the Mysore and Bangalore City Municipalities respectively. ~~The source from which the amount of Rs.7112/- ordered to be paid from Government has to be met will be pointed out later on.~~

An additional grant of Rs.7112 is sanctioned to the P.W. Dept for meeting his charges in connection with the Sanitary improvements to be paid for Sanitary works. Rs 25,000/- for "8. Sanitary Improvements, under 30 Grant for Public Improvements on the budget for 1920-21.

13.9.21.

Offg. Chief Secretary to Govt.

T o

The Comptroller, Mysore Govt.
thro' the Finl. Sectt.

" presidents of Bangalore and Mysore City Municipalities

...

Copy to Mr. Krumbiegel.

Recd 25.10.21

12/9/21 10/11/21

17/11

G. R. S. App. F. G. 3

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet, draft form.*)

No 1923/CD Date 15-11-45

To

The Supt

Govt Gardens

Sir

With reference to your letter No 1099/H dated 2.11.45 I am directed to send herewith a copy of the Govt No. 2791-3/CS 209-19-6 Dated 19.11.1921 in which Mr S.H. Kennibiegel was authorised to receive a sum of Rs 9000/- as remuneration for the work done by him on behalf of Govt, during the period of his internment.

I have the

honour to be

Sir

Your obedient servant

for Chief Secretary

Immediate 15-11-45
Signed by Mr G Ramaiya
Asst Secy to Govt

dsp
15/11/45

WD 0565—GPB—22,500—8-11-38

14th November 5

The Superintendent,
Government Gardens.

With reference to your letter No.1099/H dated the 2nd November 1945, I am directed to send herewith a copy of the Govt. Order No.2791-3/C.B.209-19-6 dated the 19th November 1921 in which Mr. G.H.Krumbiegel was authorised to receive a sum of Rs.9,000/- as remuneration for work done by him on behalf of Government, during the period of his internment.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Chief Secretary to Government.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read:-

Para 2 of Govt. Order No.1929-13/C.B.209-19-3 dated 7th April 1921, stating that separate orders would be passed regarding the grant of a suitable remuneration to Mr. G.H.Krumbiegel, Govt. Economic Botanist, for work done by him on behalf of Govt. during the period of his internment.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No.23 dated 8th August 1921 from the Hony. President, Bangalore City Municipal Council, regarding the contribution payable from the Bangalore City Municipal funds towards the remuneration of Mr. Krumbiegel.

3. Letter dated 29th Aug. 1921 from the Hony. President, Mysore City Municipal Council on the same subject.

O R D E R No. 2731-3/C.B.209-19-6,
Dated, Bangalore, 19th November 1921.

During his internment Mr. Krumbiegel prepared plans and estimates for certain works relating to Govt. and the Mysore and the Bangalore City Municipalities and has requested that suitable remuneration may be sanctioned to him. The Government are pleased, after considering the matter, to sanction a remuneration of Rs.9000/- to Mr. Krumbiegel, as per details given below:— in full settlement of his claims for all work done for Government during his internment:--

For preparing plans for Govt. works at an estimate cost of Rs.2,82,500/-	Rs.7,112/-
do. for works relating to Mysore City Municipality at an estimated cost of Rs.60,000/-	,, 1,510/-
do. for the works relating to Bangalore City Municipality at an estimated cost of Rs.15000/-	,, 378/-
Total	Rs.9,000/-

2. As regards the sum of Rs.1,510/- payable in connection with the works relating to the Mysore City Municipality, the Hony. President, Mysore City Municipal Council states that it may be met from the allotment for the Mysore City Improvement Trust Board as the cost of almost all those works was met from the same fund. This proposal is approved, the sum of Rs.1510/- being debited proportionately to the various works.

3. The President, Bangalore City Municipal Council, however, states that under standing orders the Municipal Council may take the advice of the Provincial Officers in matters of big schemes touching sanitation, engineering, etc. and that the officers concerned should give such advice, free of cost, and requests that the demand for the contribution of Rs.378/- from the municipal funds may be waived. Government regret that this proposal cannot be approved, as During his internment, Mr. Krumbiegel could not be considered as a Provincial Officer, but only as an expert whose advice was taken in regard to these items of work. The remuneration payable should, therefore, be met from the municipal funds.

4. The Comptroller is requested to arrange for the payment of the full amount Rs.9,000/- to Mr. Krumbiegel and to recover the amounts of Rs.1,510/- and Rs.378/- from the Mysore and the Bangalore City Municipalities respectively. An additional grant of Rs.7,112/- is sanctioned to the Public Works Department for meeting the charge to be paid for Government works.

(Sd.) B. Ramaswamayya,
Offg. Chief Secretary to Govt.

To

The Comptroller, Mysore Govt., thro' Finl. Sectt.
" Presidents of Bangalore and Mysore City Municipalities.

...

Copy to Mr. Krumbiegel.

C o p y

For Chief Secretary to Govt.